Recap: Reasoning Over Time

- Markov models





$P(X_1)$ $\qquad$ $P(X|X_{-1})$

- Hidden Markov models

$P(E|X)$



X	E	P
rain	umbrella	0.9
rain	no umbrella	0.1
sun	umbrella	0.2
sun	no umbrella	0.8

Passage of Time

- Assume we have current belief P(X | evidence to date)

$$B(X_t) = P(X_t|e_{1:t})$$



- Then, after one time step passes:

$$P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X_{t+1}|x_t)P(x_t|e_{1:t})$$

- Or, compactly:

$$B'(X_{t+1}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X'|x)B(x_t)$$

- Basic idea: beliefs get "pushed" through the transitions
  - With the "B" notation, we have to be careful about what time step t the belief is about, and what evidence it includes

Example: Passage of Time

- As time passes, uncertainty "accumulates"



T = 1



T = 2



T = 5

$$B'(X') = \sum_x P(X'|x)B(x)$$

Transition model: ghosts usually go clockwise

Example: Observation

- As we get observations, beliefs get reweighted, uncertainty “decreases”



Before observation



After observation

$$B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X)$$

Example HMM



0.500
0.500
0.627
0.373
True
False
0.500
0.500
0.818
0.182
0.883
0.117
$Rain_0$
$Rain_1$
$Rain_2$
$Umbrella_1$
$Umbrella_2$

The Forward Algorithm

- We are given evidence at each time and want to know

$$B_t(X) = P(X_t | e_{1:t})$$

- We can derive the following updates

$$P(x_t | e_{1:t}) \propto_X P(x_t, e_{1:t})$$

$$= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}, x_t, e_{1:t})$$

$$= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}, e_{1:t-1}) P(x_t | x_{t-1}) P(e_t | x_t)$$

$$= P(e_t | x_t) \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_t | x_{t-1}) P(x_{t-1}, e_{1:t-1})$$

We can normalize as we go if we want to have P(x|e) at each time step, or just once at the end…

Online Belief Updates

- Every time step, we start with current P(X | evidence)
- We update for time:

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t|x_{t-1})$$



- We update for evidence:

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto_X P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t|x_t)$$



- The forward algorithm does both at once (and doesn't normalize)
- Problem: space is |X| and time is $|X|^2$ per time step

- Voice Recognition: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d9gDcHBmr3I

Filtering

·

Elapse time: compute P(X_t | $e_{1:t-1}$)

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

Observe: compute P(X_t | $e_{1:t}$)

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t|x_t)$$



Belief: <P(rain), P(sun)>

$P(X_1)$	<0.5, 0.5>	*Prior on* X_1
$P(X_1 \mid E_1 = umbrella)$	<0.82, 0.18>	*Observe*
$P(X_2 \mid E_1 = umbrella)$	<0.63, 0.37>	*Elapse time*
$P(X_2 \mid E_1 = umb, E_2 = umb)$	<0.88, 0.12>	*Observe*

Particle Filtering

- Sometimes |X| is too big to use exact inference
  - |X| may be too big to even store B(X)
  - E.g. X is continuous
  - $|X|^2$ may be too big to do updates
- Solution: approximate inference
  - Track samples of X, not all values
  - Samples are called particles
  - Time per step is linear in the number of samples
  - But: number needed may be large
  - In memory: list of particles, not states
- This is how robot localization works in practice



Representation: Particles

- Our representation of P(X) is now a list of N particles (samples)
  - Generally, N << |X|
  - Storing map from X to counts would defeat the point
- P(x) approximated by number of particles with value x
  - So, many x will have P(x) = 0!
  - More particles, more accuracy
- For now, all particles have a weight of 1



Particles:
(3,3)
(2,3)
(3,3)
(3,2)
(3,3)
(3,2)
(2,1)
(3,3)
(3,3)
(2,1)

Particle Filtering: Elapse Time

- Each particle is moved by sampling its next position from the transition model

$$x' = \text{sample}(P(X'|x))$$

  - This is like prior sampling – samples' frequencies reflect the transition probs
  - Here, most samples move clockwise, but some move in another direction or stay in place

- This captures the passage of time
  - If we have enough samples, close to the exact values before and after (consistent)



Particle Filtering: Observe

- Slightly trickier:
  - Don't do rejection sampling (why not?)
  - We don't sample the observation, we fix it
  - This is similar to likelihood weighting, so we downweight our samples based on the evidence

$$w(x) = P(e|x)$$

$$B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X)$$

  - Note that, as before, the probabilities don't sum to one, since most have been downweighted (in fact they sum to an approximation of P(e))



Particle Filtering: Resample

- Rather than tracking weighted samples, we resample
- N times, we choose from our weighted sample distribution (i.e. draw with replacement)
- This is analogous to renormalizing the distribution
- Now the update is complete for this time step, continue with the next one

Old Particles:
(3,3) w=0.1
(2,1) w=0.9
(2,1) w=0.9
(3,1) w=0.4
(3,2) w=0.3
(2,2) w=0.4
(1,1) w=0.4
(3,1) w=0.4
(2,1) w=0.9
(3,2) w=0.3

New Particles:
(2,1) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(3,2) w=1
(2,2) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(1,1) w=1
(3,1) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(1,1) w=1



Robot Localization

- In robot localization:
  - We know the map, but not the robot's position
  - Observations may be vectors of range finder readings
  - State space and readings are typically continuous (works basically like a very fine grid) and so we cannot store B(X)
  - Particle filtering is often used



http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=INLja6Ya3Ig&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kqJpuMNHF_g&feature=related

Ghostbusters

- **Plot:** Pacman's grandfather, Grandpac, learned to hunt ghosts for sport.
- He was blinded by his power, but could hear the ghosts' banging and clanging.
- **Transition Model:** All ghosts move randomly, but are sometimes biased
- **Emission Model:** Pacman knows a "noisy" distance to each ghost

Noisy distance prob

True distance = 8