

Recursion and Structural Induction

CS231
Dianna Xu

1

Fibonacci Sequence

- Definition

- Non-recursive: $F(n) = \frac{(1+\sqrt{5})^n - (1-\sqrt{5})^n}{\sqrt{5} \cdot 2^n}$

- Recursive: $F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$

- Must always specify base case(s)!

- $F(1) = 1, F(2) = 1$

2

Fibonacci Sequence in Java

```
int F(int n) {
    if ((n == 1) || (n == 2))
        return 1;
    else
        return F(n-1) + F(n-2);
}
```

3

Bad Recursive Definitions

- Consider:

- $f(0) = 1$
 - $f(n) = 1 + f(n-2)$
 - What is $f(1)$?

- Consider:

- $f(0) = 1$
 - $f(n) = 1 + f(-n)$
 - What is $f(1)$?

4

Defining Sets via Recursion

- Three components:
 1. Base
 2. Recursion
 3. Restriction: nothing else belongs to the set other than those generated by 1 and 2
- Example: the set of positive integers
 - Base: $1 \in S$
 - Recursion: if $x \in S$, then $x+1 \in S$

5

Recursively Defined Sets

- The set of odd positive integers
 - $1 \in S$
 - If $x \in S$, then $x+2 \in S$
- The set of positive integer powers of 3
 - $3 \in S$
 - If $x \in S$, then $3 \cdot x \in S$
- The set of polynomials with integer coefficients
 - $0 \in S$
 - If $p(x) \in S$, then $p(x) + cx^n \in S$
 - $c \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$

6

Recursive String Definition

- Terminology
 - λ is the empty string: ""
 - Σ is the alphabet, i.e. the set of all letters: { a, b, c, ..., z }
- We define a set of strings Σ^* as follows
 - Base: $\lambda \in \Sigma^*$
 - If $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$, then $wx \in \Sigma^*$
 - Thus, Σ^* is the set of all the possible strings that can be generated with the alphabet

7

Defining Strings via Recursion

- Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- Thus, Σ^* is the set of all binary numbers
 - Or all binary strings
 - Or all possible machine executables

8

Length of a String

- How to define string length recursively?
 - Base: $\text{len}(\lambda) = 0$
 - Recursion: $\text{len}(wx) = \text{len}(w) + 1$ if $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$
- Example: $\text{len}(\text{"aba"})$
 - $\text{len}(\text{"aba"}) = \text{len}(\text{"ab"}) + 1$
 - $\text{len}(\text{"ab"}) = \text{len}(\text{"a"}) + 1$
 - $\text{len}(\text{"a"}) = \text{len}(\text{""}) + 1$
 - $\text{len}(\text{""}) = 0$
 - Output: 3

9

Palindromes

- Give a recursive definition for the set of strings that are palindromes
 - We will define set P , which is the set of all palindromes
- Base:
 - $\lambda \in P$
 - $x \in P$ when $x \in \Sigma$
- Recursion: $xpx \in P$ if $p \in P, x \in \Sigma, p \in \Sigma^*$

10

Recursion vs. Induction

- Consider the recursive definition for factorial:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{– } \underline{f(0)} = 1 \\ & \quad \text{Base} \\ & \text{– } \underline{f(n)} = n * \underline{f(n-1)} \\ & \quad \text{Recursion} \end{aligned}$$

11

Recursion vs. Induction

- Consider the set of all positive integers that are multiples of 3
 - { 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, ... }
 - { $x \mid x = 3k$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ }
- Recursive definition:
 - Base: $3 \in S$
 - Recursion: If $x \in S$ and $y \in S$, then $x+y \in S$

12

Proof

- Prove that S contains all positive integers divisible by 3
- Let $P(n) = 3n, n \geq 1$, show $3n \in S$
 - **Base case:** $P(1) = 3 \cdot 1 \in S$
 - By the base of the recursive definition
 - **Inductive hypothesis:** $P(k) = 3 \cdot k \in S$
 - **Recursive step:** show $P(k+1) = 3 \cdot (k+1) \in S$
 - $3 \cdot (k+1) = 3k+3$
 - $3k \in S$ by the inductive hypothesis
 - $3 \in S$ by the base case
 - Thus, $3k+3 \in S$ by the recursive definition

13

What did we just do?

- Notice what we did:
 - Showed the base case
 - Assumed the inductive hypothesis
 - For the recursive step, we:
 - Showed that each of the “parts” were in S
 - The parts being $3k$ and 3
 - Showed that since both parts were in S , by the recursive definition, the combination of those parts is in S
 - i.e., $3k+3 \in S$
- This is called **structural induction**

14

Structural Induction

- A more convenient form of induction for recursively defined “things”
- Used in conjunction with recursive definitions
- Three parts:
 - **Base step:** Show the result holds for the elements in the base of the recursive definition
 - **Inductive hypothesis:** Assume that the statement is true for some existing elements
 - **Recursive step:** Show that the recursive definition allows the creation of a new element using the existing elements

15

Structural Induction on Strings

- Part (a): Give the definition for $ones(s)$, which counts the number of ones in a bit string s
- Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- **Base:** $ones(\lambda) = 0$
- **Recursion:** $ones(wx) = ones(w) + x$
 - Where $x \in \Sigma$ and $w \in \Sigma^*$
 - Note that x is a bit: either 0 or 1

16

String Structural Induction Example

- Part (b): Use structural induction to prove that $ones(st) = ones(s) + ones(t)$
- **Base case:** $t = \lambda$
 - $ones(s\lambda) = ones(s) = ones(s)+0 = ones(s) + ones(\lambda)$
- **Inductive hypothesis:** Assume $ones(s\cdot t) = ones(s) + ones(t)$
- **Recursive step:** Want to show that $ones(s\cdot t\cdot x) = ones(s) + ones(t\cdot x)$
 - Where $s, t \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$
 - New element is $ones(s\cdot t\cdot x)$
 - $ones(s\cdot t\cdot x) = ones((s\cdot t)\cdot x)$ by associativity of concatenation
 - $= x + ones(s\cdot t)$ by recursive definition
 - $= x + ones(s) + ones(t)$ by inductive hypothesis
 - $= ones(s) + (x + ones(t))$ by commutativity and assoc. of +
 - $= ones(s) + ones(t\cdot x)$ by recursive definition

17

Induction Methods Compared

	Weak Mathematical	Strong Mathematical	Structural
Used for	Usually formulae	Usually formulae not easily provable via mathematical induction	Only things defined via recursion
Assumption	Assume $P(k)$	Assume $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$	Assume statement is true for some “old” elements
What to prove	True for $P(k+1)$	True for $P(k+1)$	Statement is true for some “new” elements created with “old” elements
Step 1 called	Base case	Base case	Basis step
Step 3 called	Inductive step	Inductive step	Recursive step

18

Proof by Inductions

- Show that $F(n) < 2^n$
 - Where $F(n)$ is the n^{th} Fibonacci number
- Fibonacci definition:
 - Base: $F(1) = 1$ and $F(2) = 1$
 - Recursion: $F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$
- Base case: Show true for $F(1)$ and $F(2)$
 - $F(1) = 1 < 2^1 = 2$
 - $F(2) = 1 < 2^2 = 4$

19

Via weak mathematical induction

- Inductive hypothesis: Assume $F(k) < 2^k$
- Inductive step: Prove $F(k+1) < 2^{k+1}$
 - $F(k+1) = F(k) + F(k-1)$
 - We know $F(k) < 2^k$ by the inductive hypothesis
 - Each term is less than the next, therefore:
 $F(k-1) < F(k)$
 - Thus, $F(k-1) < F(k) < 2^k$
 - Therefore, $F(k+1) = F(k) + F(k-1) < 2^k + 2^k = 2^{k+1}$ ■

20

Via strong mathematical induction

- Inductive hypothesis: Assume $F(1) < 2^1$, $F(2) < 2^2$, ..., $F(k-1) < 2^{k-1}$, $F(k) < 2^k$
- Inductive step: Prove $F(k+1) < 2^{k+1}$
 - $F(k+1) = F(k) + F(k-1)$
 - We know $F(k) < 2^k$ by the inductive hypothesis
 - We know $F(k-1) < 2^{k-1}$ by the inductive hypothesis
 - Therefore, $F(k) + F(k-1) < 2^k + 2^{k-1} < 2^{k+1}$ ■

21

Via structural induction

- Inductive hypothesis: Assume $F(k) < 2^k$
- Recursive step:
 - Show true for “new element”: $F(k+1)$
 - $F(k+1) = F(k) + F(k-1)$
 - $F(k) < 2^k$ by the inductive hypothesis
 - $F(k-1) < F(k) < 2^k$
 - Therefore, $F(k) + F(k-1) < 2^k + 2^k = 2^{k+1}$
 - $F(k+1) < 2^{k+1}$ ■

22