Boolean Logic

CS 231
Dianna Xu

Proposition/Statement

- A proposition is either true or false – but not both
  - "The sky is blue"
  - "Lisa is a Math major"
  - "x == y"
- Not propositions:
  - "Are you Bob?"
  - "x := 7"

Boolean variables

- We use Boolean variables to refer to propositions
  - Usually denoted with lower case letters starting with p (i.e. *p, q, r, s*, etc.)
  - A Boolean variable can have one of two values true (T) or false (F)
- A proposition can be…
  - A single variable: *p*
  - A compound statement: $p \wedge (q \vee \sim r)$

Introduction to Logical Operators

- About a dozen logical operators
  - Similar to algebraic operators + * - /
- In the following examples,
  - *p* = "It is Tuesday"
  - *q* = "It is 9/3"

Logical operators: Not

- Negation
- Not switches (negates) the truth value
- Symbol: ~ or ¬
- In C/C++ and Java, the operand is !

p	~*p*
T	F
F	T

- ~*p* = "It is not Tuesday"

Logical operators: And

- Conjunction
- And is true if both operands are true
- Symbol: ∧
- In C/C++ and Java, the operand is &&

p	*q*	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

- $p \wedge q$ = "It is Tuesday and it is 9/3"

Logical operators: Or

- Disjunction
- Or is true if either operands is true
- Symbol: ∨
- In C/C++ and Java, the operand is ||
- $p \vee q$ = "It is Tuesday or it is 9/3 (or both)"

p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Logical operators: Exclusive Or

- Exclusive Or is true if one of the operands are true, but false if both are true
- Symbol: ⊕
- Often called XOR
- $p \oplus q \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge \sim(p \wedge q)$
- In Java, the operand is ^ (but not in C/C++)
- $p \oplus q$ = "It is Tuesday or it is 9/3, but not both"

p	q	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Inclusive Or versus Exclusive Or

- Do these sentences mean inclusive or exclusive or?
  - Experience with C++ or Java is required
  - Lunch includes soup or salad
  - To enter the country, you need a passport or a driver's license
  - Publish or perish

Logical Equivalence

- Two statements are logically equivalent if and only if they have identical truth values for all possible substitutions of statement variables
  - $p \equiv q$

Conditional

- A conditional means "if p then q"or "p implies q"
- Symbol: →
- $p \rightarrow q$ = "If it is Tuesday, then it is 9/3"
- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \vee q$

the antecedent — the consequent

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\sim p \vee q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T

Conditional 2

- Let p = "I am elected" and q = "I will lower taxes"
- $p \rightarrow q$ = "If I am elected, then I will lower taxes"
- The statement doesn't say anything about ~p
- If ~p, then the conditional is true regardless of whether q is true or false

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Conditional 3

				Conditional	Inverse	Converse	Contra-positive
p	*q*	~*p*	~*q*	*p*→*q*	~*p*→~*q*	*q*→*p*	~*q*→~*p*
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T

- The conditional and its contra-positive are equivalent
- So are the inverse and converse

Logical operators: Conditional 4

- Alternate ways of stating a conditional:
  - *p* implies *q*
  - If *p*, *q*
  - *p* is sufficient for *q*
  - *q* if *p*
  - *q* whenever *p*
  - *q* is necessary for *p* (if ~*q* then ~*p*)
  - *p* only if *q*

Bi-conditional

- A bi-conditional means "*p* if and only if *q*"
- Symbol: ↔
- Alternatively, it means "(if *p* then *q*) and (if *q* then *p*)"
- *p*↔*q* ≡ *p*→*q* ∧ *q*→p
- Note that a bi-conditional has the opposite truth values of the exclusive or

p	*q*	*p*↔*q*
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Bi-conditional

- Let *p* = "You get a grade" and *q* = "You take this class"
- Then *p*↔*q* means "You get a grade if and only if you take this class"
- Alternatively, it means "If you get a grade, then you took (take) this class and if you take (took) this class then you get a grade"

p	*q*	*p*↔*q*
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Boolean operators summary

		not	not	and	or	xor	conditional	bi-conditional
p	*q*	~*p*	~*q*	*p*∧*q*	*p*∨*q*	*p*⊕*q*	*p*→*q*	*p*↔*q*
T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F	T	T

- Learn what they mean, don't just memorize the table!

Precedence of operators

- Precedence order (from highest to lowest): ~ ∧ ∨ → ↔
  - The first three are the most important
- Not is *always* performed before any other operation

Translating English Sentences

- Problem:
  - p = "It is below freezing"
  - q = "It is snowing"

- It is below freezing and it is snowing $p \wedge q$
- It is below freezing but not snowing $p \wedge \sim q$
- It is not below freezing and it is not snowing $\sim p \wedge \sim q$
- It is snowing or below freezing (or both) $p \vee q$
- If it is below freezing, it is also snowing $p \rightarrow q$
- It is either below freezing or it is snowing, but it is not snowing if it is below freezing $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow \sim q)$
- That it is below freezing is necessary and sufficient for it to be snowing $p \leftrightarrow q$

Tautology and Contradiction

- A tautology **t** is a statement that is always true
  - $p \vee \sim p$ will always be true (Negation Law)
- A contradiction **c** is a statement that is always false
  - $p \wedge \sim p$ will always be false (Negation Law)

p	$p \vee \sim p$	$p \wedge \sim p$
T	T	F
F	T	F

DeMorgan's Law

- Probably the most important logical equivalence
- To negate $p \wedge q$ (or $p \vee q$), you "flip" the sign, and negate BOTH p and q
  - Thus, $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$
  - Thus, $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$

p	q	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$p \wedge q$	$\sim(p \wedge q)$	$\sim p \vee \sim q$	$p \vee q$	$\sim(p \vee q)$	$\sim p \wedge \sim q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	T

Logical Equivalences

Communicative	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$
Associative	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$
Distributive	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
Identity	$p \wedge \mathbf{t} \equiv p$	$p \vee \mathbf{c} \equiv p$
Negation	$p \vee \sim p \equiv \mathbf{t}$	$p \wedge \sim p \equiv \mathbf{c}$
Double Negative	$\sim(\sim p) \equiv p$	
Idempotent	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	$p \vee p \equiv p$
Universal bound	$p \wedge \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}$	$p \vee \mathbf{t} \equiv \mathbf{t}$
De Morgan's	$\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$	$\sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$
Absorption	$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$	$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$
Negation of **t** and **c**	$\sim \mathbf{t} \equiv \mathbf{c}$	$\sim \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{t}$

How to prove two propositions are equivalent?

- Two methods:
  - Using truth tables
    - Not good for long formulae
    - Should not be your first method to prove logical equivalence!
  - Using the logical equivalences and laws
    - The preferred method
- Example: show that:

$$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$$

Using Truth Tables

$$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$$

p	q	r	p→r	q →r	(p→r)∨(q →r)	p∧q	(p∧q) →r
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	F	T

Using Logical Equivalences

$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ Original statement

$(\sim p \vee r) \vee (\sim q \vee r) \equiv \sim (p \wedge q) \vee r$ Definition of implication

$(\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee r \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ DeMorgan's Law

$\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ Associativity of Or

$\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ Re-arranging

$\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ Idempotent Law

Using Logical Equivalences

$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ Original statement

$(\sim p \vee r) \vee (\sim q \vee r) \equiv \sim (p \wedge q) \vee r$ Definition of implication

$(\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee r \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ DeMorgan's Law

$\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ Associativity of Or

$\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ Re-arranging

$\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$ Idempotent Law