

More with Comparable

Priority Queues

Queueing Theory



Agner Krarup Erlang

Queues



Queue Interface

- null is returned from getFront() and dequeue() when queue is empty
- return false from offer when cannot add to queue.

```
public interface QueueInterface<E> {
    int size();
    boolean isEmpty();
    E getFront(); // peek
    boolean enqueue(E e);
    E dequeue();
    void clear();
```

}

Example

Operation	output	Queue Contents
enqueue(5)	TRUE	{5}
enqueue(3)	TRUE	{5, 3}
dequeue()	5	{3}
enqueue(7)	TRUE	{3, 7}
dequeue()	3	{7}
getFront()	7	{7}
dequeue()	7	{}
dequeue()	null	{}

Array-based Queue

- An array of size n in a circular fashion
- o frontLoc: index of the front element
 - where objects are read
- o count: number of stored elements
- □ rearLoc: index of rear element
 - where objects are added





Circular Array and Queue



Performance and Limitations for array-based Queue

- Performance
 - It n be the number of objects in the queue
 - The space used is O(n)
 - Each operation runs in time O(1)
- Limitations
 - Max size is limited and can not be changed
 - Adding to a full queue returns false (enqueue method)

Start of Queue Implementation

```
public class ArrayQueue<Q> implements QueueInterface<Q> {
    private static final int DEFAULT_CAPACITY = 42;
    private Q[] backingArray;
    private int count;
    private int frontLoc;
    public ArrayQueue() {
         this(DEFAULT CAPACITY);
    public ArrayQueue(int qSize) {
        count = 0;
        frontLoc = 0;
        backingArray = (Q[]) new Object[qSize];
    }
    public int size() {
        return count;
                               9
CS151
```

Java Documentation Queue offer Method (enqueue)

enqueue

boolean -offer(E e)

Inserts the specified element into this queue if it is possible to do so immediately without violating capacity restrictions. When using a capacity-restricted queue, this method is generally preferable to add(E), which can fail to insert an element only by throwing an exception.

Parameters:

e - the element to add

Returns:

true if the element was added to this queue, else false

Write enqueue and dequeue

public class ArrayQueue<Q> implements QueueInterface<Q> {
 private static final int CAPACITY = 42;
 private Q[] backingArray;
 private int count;
 private int frontLoc;

boolean enqueue(Q e); // add item to queue // write with class
Q dequeue(); // remove item from queue // write in groups



Sorted Array List

public class Sal<C> {

- Problem
 - how to guarantee that the Generic class C has an ordering ...
 - Homework 4 convert to string and compare those strings
 - That method is less than optimal Why?
- It would be better to require that items have an ordering
 - or at least that items know ordering with respect to each other.
- In Java require the Comparable interface

public class Sal<C extends Comparable<C>> {

Comparable Interface

- Part of Java language
- Idea, give a way for classes to define a total ordering of instances
- Java classes that implement:
 - String
 - All descendants of Number
 - Lots of others

The Comparable Interface

public interface Comparable<T>

This interface imposes a total ordering on the objects of each class that implements it. This ordering is referred as the class's *natural ordering*, and the class's compareTo method is referred to as its *natural comparison method*.Lists (and arrays) of objects that implement this interface can be sorted automatically by Collections.sort (and Arrays.sort). Objects that implement this interface can be used as keys in

a sorted map or as elements in a sorted set, without the need to specify a comparator.

The natural ordering for a class C is said to be *consistent with equals* if and only if e1.compareTo(e2) == 0 the same boolean value as e1.equals(e2) for every e1 and e2 of class C. Note that null is not an instance o any class, and e.compareTo(null) should throw a NullPointerException even

though e.equals(null) returns false.

It is strongly recommended (though not required) that natural orderings be consistent with equals. This is so because sorted sets (and sorted maps) without explicit comparators behave "strangely" when they are used with elements (or keys) whose natural ordering is inconsistent with equals. In particular, such a sorted set (or sorted map) violates the general contract for set (or map), which is defined in terms of the equals method.

For example, if one adds two keys a and b such that (!a.equals(b) && a.compareTo(b) == 0) to a sor set that does not use an explicit comparator, the second add operation returns false (and the size of the sorted does not increase) because a and b are equivalent from the sorted set's perspective.

Virtually all Java core classes that implement Comparable have natural orderings that are consistent with equa One exception is java.math.BigDecimal, whose natural ordering equates BigDecimal objects with equal values and different precisions (such as 4.0 and 4.00).

For the mathematically inclined, the *relation* that defines the natural ordering on a given class C is:_____

 $\{(x, y) \text{ such that } x \cdot \text{compareTo}(y) \leq 0\}$.

Comparable interface (shortened)

int compareTo(T o)

Compares this object with the specified object for order. Returns a negative integer, zero, or a positive integer as this object is less than, equal to, or greater than the specified object.

```
The implementor must ensure sgn(x.compareTo(y)) == -sgn(y.compareTo(x)) for all x and y. (This implies
```

that x.compareTo(y) must throw an exception iff y.compareTo(x) throws an exception.)

The implementor must also ensure that the relation is transitive: (x.compareTo(y)>0 && y.compareTo(z)>0) implies x.compareTo(z)>0.

```
Finally, the implementor must ensure that x.compareTo(y)==0 implies that sgn(x.compareTo(z)) == sgn(y.compareTo(z)), for all z.
```

It is strongly recommended, but *not* strictly required that (x.compareTo(y)==0) == (x.equals(y)). Generally speaking, any class that implements the Comparable interface and violates this condition should clearly indicate this fact. The recommended language is "Note: this class has a natural ordering that is inconsistent with equals."

In the foregoing description, the notation sgn(expression) designates the mathematical *signum* function, which is defined to return one of -1, 0, or 1 according to whether the value of *expression* is negative, zero or positive.

Parameters:

```
o - the object to be compared.
Returns:
a negative integer, zero, or a positive integer as this object is less than, equal to, or greater
than the specified object.
```

Comparable Interface (even shorter)

public interface Comparable<T> {
 int compareTo(T o);
}

- return 0 if they are equal
- return <0 if caller is less than compared
- return >0 if caller greater than compared
 - Integer v3 = Integer.valueOf(3).
 - v3.compareTo(4) ==> -1
 - "DQ".compareTo("DR") ==> 3

Comparable Rabbit

```
public class Rabbit implements Comparable<Rabbit> {
    private final int iD;
    private final String nickname;
    public Rabbit(int id, String nn) {
        this.iD = id;
        this.nickname = nn==null ? makeName() : nn;
    }
```

// implement Comparable interface so that rabbits
// are sorted based on their iD.

Priority Queue

- Rather than FiFo, remove items according to their priority
 - Implement:
 - same methods as queue(?)
 - Others needed?

public class PriorityQueue<B extends Comparable>
 extends ArrayList
 implements QueueInterface

public class PriorityQueueSAL<P extends Comparable<P>>
 extends SALextending<P>
 implements QueueInterface<P>

PriorityQueue

- Implementation a trivial extension on SAL!!!
- Small difference
 - Usually PQ are on K,V pairs where
 - K the priority
 - V the item in the queue
- Q: Does K,V pair matter?